

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,445	04/08/2004	Max Heria	HERLA-2	7168
20151	7590 07/06/2005		EXAM	INER
HENRY M FEIEREISEN, LLC 350 FIFTH AVENUE			SMITH, SCOTT A	
SUITE 4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	NEW YORK, NY 10118		3721	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/820,445	HERLA, MAX			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Scott A. Smith	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 ff NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ol6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	<u>ıne 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a)	\square accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to I	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/8/04; 9/13/04</u> .	6) Other:				
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on 6/6/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 10-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 6/6/05.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the shifting unit for moving the drive shaft, as set forth in claim 1, and the shifting unit operated by hydraulic, pneumatic, or electromechanical means, as set forth in claim 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate

Application/Control Number: 10/820,445

Art Unit: 3721

changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The particulars of the shifting unit are not disclosed, with respect to claim 1. Further, there is no mention as to the shifting unit being operated by pneumatic or electromechanical means, as per claim 9. The drive shaft being connected to the spindle head via "interference fit" connections is not set forth in the specification. This recitation cannot be fully understood. It seems that such a firm connection would preclude mode changing in an effective manner.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/820,445

Art Unit: 3721

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites an "interference fit" on lines 8 and 10 between the drive shaft and spindle head. However, this recitation appears to be inaccurate in that such a connection would seem to preclude an effective shifting of the components between the modes. Perhaps other language should be used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klement '593 in view of Buck et al.

Klement '593 discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a drive unit having a removable drive shaft 9, a spindle head assembly having a hollow spindle head shaft 6, a planetary gear mechanism 8, a shifting unit 8 (not shown) for effecting a change between high and low speeds such that the drive shaft 9 is connected to the shaft 6 via gear mechanism 8 in one position, and the drive shaft 9 is connected directly to the spindle shaft 6 via connection 15, the drive shaft driven by an electric motor 3

including a rotor 5 shrink-fitted to the drive shaft. However, Klement '593 lacks the drive shaft being axially shiftable. Buck et al. discloses an arrangement for shifting a power tool transmission comprising a hollow spindle shaft 11, 352, an axially shiftable shaft 18 engaging the hollow 352 of spindle shaft in one position and engaging a planetary gear mechanism 15 in another position, and a shifting mechanism 33 for changing between high and low speeds. In view of the teachings of Buck et al., it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to shift the drive shaft axially relative to the gear mechanism since such a reversal of parts performs no new and unexpected results. To form the stator such that it completely surrounds the rotor at all times, to provide suitable bearing for axial movement, and to provide a hydraulic means for shifting the transmission components would have been obvious since such expedients are known, and such modifications are within the engineering purview of the skilled artisan.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brandt et al., DeGroff, Yokota et al., Bourner et al., Lin, Huang, Bernhard, Takayama et al., and Chen disclose similar devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4469. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-4:00 Tues.-Fri..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/820,445

Art Unit: 3721

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Smith

SCOTT A. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER